## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No.	CV 23-01708-SF		ack et al	Date	April 3, 2023
Title Aulio Realpe Sandoval v. Kevin Peck et al					
Present:	The Honorable		PEACE GARNETT ATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
	P. Gomez		Not Reported		
	Deputy Clerk	(	Court Reporter / Recorder		
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:			Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
Not Present			Not Present		
Proceeding: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION					
Plaintiff(s) are <b>ORDERED</b> to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. <i>Link v. Wabash R. Co.</i> , 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).					
The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, <b>on or before April 17, 2023</b> , why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. <i>See</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.					
Defendant Kevin Peck did not answer the complaint, yet Plaintiff(s) have failed to request entry of default, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by seeking entry of default or by dismissing the complaint.					
IT IS SO ORDERED.					
					:
Initials of Preparer PG					